Assessing the Effectiveness of Sustainable Development Initiatives on Nigerian Campuses, from Policy to Practice

Mokagu Ukuota

Department of Environmental Management, West African University of Science and Technology, Abuja, Nigeria

Abstract

This study examines the effectiveness of sustainability initiatives across Nigerian university campuses, analyzing the transition from national sustainability policies to practical implementation in higher education institutions. Using a mixed-methods approach that includes case studies from three universities, stakeholder interviews, sustainability assessment tools, and document analysis, the research identifies critical gaps between policy frameworks and their application. The findings reveal that while Nigerian universities demonstrate strong policy awareness and have incorporated sustainability into curricula and campus operations, they face substantial challenges in implementation, including financial constraints, inadequate technical capacity, and weak monitoring systems. The study further reveals that initiatives emphasizing community engagement and local relevance demonstrate higher success rates. This research contributes to the literature on sustainability in higher education by proposing a contextual framework for enhancing sustainability implementation in Nigerian universities and similar developing contexts, emphasizing the need for integrated approaches that connect policy objectives with institutional practices and local realities.

Keywords

Campus Sustainability, Sustainability Assessment, Higher Education, Nsustainability Policy, Sustainable Development, Education For Sustainable Development

1. Introduction

The global higher education sector faces increasing pressure to address sustainability challenges through institutional operations, curriculum design, and community engagement. As centers of knowledge production and innovation, universities worldwide are recognized as crucial actors in advancing sustainable development goals (SDGs). However, in developing contexts like Nigeria, the translation of sustainability policies into effective campus practices remains poorly understood and understudied. Nigeria has demonstrated strong policy commitment to sustainability through its Climate Change Act, Energy Transition Plan, and recent validation of Just Transition Guidelines and Action Plan (JT-GAP) in October 2025. Despite these comprehensive frameworks, the effectiveness of their implementation in higher education institutions requires systematic investigation.

The Nigerian higher education context presents a unique research landscape for examining sustainability implementation. With over 170 universities educating millions of students, these institutions represent microcosms of broader sustainability challenges while simultaneously functioning as potential catalysts for national sustainable development. Recent studies have highlighted how universities scoring strongly on sustainability measures are associated with innovation and economic growth in their surrounding communities, though similar connections with environmental sustainability have been less consistent. This disconnect underscores the need to examine how sustainability policies manifest in practical campus initiatives.

This research aims to assess the effectiveness of sustainability initiatives across Nigerian university campuses, analyzing the factors that facilitate or hinder the implementation of sustainability policies. Specifically, the study examines: (1) the awareness and integration of national sustainability policies into campus operations; (2) the effectiveness of different sustainability initiatives based on key performance indicators; (3) the barriers impeding implementation; and (4) potential strategies for enhancing sustainability outcomes. By employing a mixed-methods approach combining case studies, stakeholder interviews, and sustainability assessments, this study provides empirical evidence about the translation of sustainability policy into practice in the Nigerian higher education context.

The significance of this research lies in its potential to inform both policy and practice. For policymakers, it offers insights into the implementation gaps in national sustainability strategies. For university administrators, it provides a framework for evaluating and enhancing campus sustainability initiatives. For the broader literature on sustainability in higher education, it contributes a Global South perspective that addresses the current geographical imbalance in sustainability research, which has predominantly focused on Global North contexts.

2. Nigeria Promotes Sustainable Development of Higher Education

2.1 Sustainability in Higher Education: Global Context

Universities worldwide have increasingly embraced their role in advancing sustainability through teaching, research, campus operations, and community engagement. The concept of "sustainable universities" has evolved from early environmental management initiatives to comprehensive approaches that integrate sustainability across institutional functions. As highlighted in a comprehensive review of sustainability assessment practices in higher education, there has been a "drastically increasing significance of sustainable development [that] has motivated higher education institutions (HEIs) to make efforts to achieve sustainable development goals (SDGs)". This global trend reflects the growing recognition of higher education's potential to contribute to sustainability transitions.

The institutionalization of sustainability in higher education has been accompanied by the development of various assessment tools and frameworks. International systems like STARS, UI GreenMetric, and AISHE have provided standardized methods for evaluating campus sustainability performance. According to research exploring sustainability assessment practices, "SATs [sustainability assessment tools] identify areas for improvement, make strategies for improving campus sustainability, and foster a sustainability culture to execute sustainability". These tools have helped drive competition and benchmarking among institutions globally, though their applicability across diverse contexts remains contested [1].

Research on sustainability in higher education has revealed several critical success factors. Studies consistently highlight the importance of administrative leadership, stakeholder engagement, adequate resources, and systematic integration into institutional culture and structures. A global review of sustainable building research noted that "innovations in low-carbon materials (e.g., hemp concrete, geopolymers), AI-driven energy optimization, and digital tools (e.g., building information modeling (BIM), internet of things (IoT)) dominate recent advancements" in campus infrastructure. However, the literature also notes persistent challenges, including "policy fragmentation, scalability barriers for sustainable materials, and socio-economic disparities in green building adoption".

2.2 Nigerian Policy Framework and Institutional Context

Nigeria has developed a comprehensive policy framework to guide sustainability transitions across sectors. The recent validation of the Just Transition Guidelines and Action Plan (JT-GAP) in October 2025 represents a landmark achievement in national sustainability policy. Described as "a landmark framework designed to steer the nation's shift to a green and climate-resilient economy," the JT-GAP emphasizes principles of equity, inclusion, and sustainable development. This framework builds on existing policies including the Climate Change Act, the Energy Transition Plan, and Nigeria's Nationally Determined Contributions (NDCs) to climate action.

The national sustainability policy landscape intersects with higher education through various mechanisms. Nigerian universities are expected to align with national sustainability priorities while addressing local environmental challenges. However, as noted in research on carbon markets in Nigeria, there often exists a "gap between policy design and on-the-ground implementation". This implementation gap is particularly relevant for universities, which face unique operational and educational challenges [2].

The Nigerian higher education context presents distinctive sustainability challenges related to funding constraints, infrastructure deficits, and governance issues. Studies of specific sustainability aspects in Nigerian universities reveal persistent problems. For instance, research on solid waste management at the University of Nigeria, Enugu Campus found that "waste generation is managed by the public (ESWAMA), and about 86% of the respondents revealed poor management of waste generation, while 14% claim it is fair". The study further identified "loss of environmental aesthetic value, air pollution, land pollution, drainage blockage, and the spread of insects and diseases as the effects of poor waste management in the university community". These challenges illustrate the implementation gaps between sustainability policies and campus practices.

2.3 Sustainability Implementation in Universities: Challenges and Opportunities

The literature identifies several recurring challenges in implementing sustainability initiatives in universities, particularly in developing contexts like Nigeria. Financial constraints consistently emerge as a primary barrier, limiting investments in sustainable infrastructure, technologies, and specialized expertise. Technical capacity gaps also impede implementation, with insufficient trained personnel to design, execute, and maintain sustainability initiatives. Institutional fragmentation represents another challenge, as sustainability often remains siloed in specific departments rather than integrated across institutional functions [3].

Research on university-community sustainability relationships suggests that "universities scoring strongly on measures of sustainability are associated with innovation and economic growth in their surrounding communities" but "the idea that universities can contribute to broader sustainable transformations doesn't seem to be realized yet, at least on a large scale". This indicates the potential for greater community-connected sustainability initiatives.

Despite these challenges, Nigerian universities present significant opportunities for advancing sustainability. Their educational mission enables them to develop sustainability-minded graduates who can drive national transitions. Their research capacity allows for context-specific innovations in sustainability science. Their campus operations serve as

living laboratories for testing sustainability solutions. And their community engagement facilitates broader societal transitions toward sustainability.

Table 1. Key Nigerian Policy Frameworks Relevant to Campus Sustainability

Policy Framework	Year	Relevance to Higher Education	Implementation Status
Just Transition Guidelines and Action Plan (JT-GAP	2025	Guides inclusive sustainability transitions; emphasizes capacity building	Newly validated; implementation beginning
Climate Change Act	2021	Establishes national climate action framework; creates National Council on Climate Change	Operational; regulatory development ongoing
Energy Transition Plan	2022	Sets pathway for energy sector decarbonization; emphasizes renewable energy	Early implementation; international partnerships formed
Carbon Market Activation Plan	2023	Creates framework for carbon trading; promotes sustainable land use	Pilot phase; implementation challenges reported
Nationally Determined Contributions (NDCs)	2021-2030	outlines climate commitments; includes education and capacity building	Implementation ongoing; progress monitoring established

Table 1: This table showcases the Nigerian government's systematic policy initiatives on climate change and sustainable development, with a particular focus on how these policies can be integrated with higher education institutions to enhance the role of education, research, and capacity building in addressing the climate crisis.

The table highlights the bridging role of universities in the national sustainable development strategy universities are both beneficiaries of policies and key drivers of innovation and implementation.

3. Approach and Methods for Analyzing University Sustainability Practices

3.1 Research Design

This study employs a mixed-methods approach to assess the effectiveness of sustainability initiatives across Nigerian university campuses. Combining qualitative and quantitative methods provides a comprehensive understanding of the complex interplay between policy frameworks and institutional practices. The research design incorporates multiple case studies, document analysis, stakeholder interviews, and sustainability assessments to develop a nuanced analysis of implementation effectiveness.

The case study approach was selected to provide contextual depth while enabling cross-institutional comparison. This design allows for examination of sustainability initiatives within their real-world contexts, capturing both the technical and social aspects of implementation. The methodology aligns with similar studies on sustainability in higher education that have successfully employed case-based designs to understand implementation dynamics [4].

3.2 Case Selection and Participants

The study focused on three Nigerian universities selected through purposive sampling to represent diverse institutional contexts: a federal university with sustainability programming, a state university with environmental initiatives, and a private university recognized for campus development. This selection strategy ensured representation of different institutional types, geographical locations, and sustainability approaches, enhancing the transferability of findings.

Within each case study institution, participants were selected through stratified sampling to represent key stakeholder groups: university administrators, faculty members involved in sustainability initiatives, facilities management staff, students engaged in sustainability activities, and community partners. In total, 45 participants contributed to the study through interviews or focus group discussions, providing diverse perspectives on sustainability implementation.

3.3 Data Collection Methods

Multiple data collection methods were employed to triangulate findings and enhance validity:

Document Analysis: Official documents including university sustainability policies, strategic plans, annual reports, and committee records were analyzed to understand formal sustainability commitments and institutional frameworks.

Semi-structured Interviews: 25 in-depth interviews were conducted with key stakeholders including sustainability directors, facilities managers, academic coordinators, and community engagement officers. Interview protocols explored awareness of sustainability policies, implementation experiences, perceived effectiveness, and barriers encountered.

Focus Group Discussions: Four focus groups were conducted with students (2 groups), administrative staff (1 group), and community members (1 group) to explore collective perspectives on sustainability initiatives and their impacts.

Sustainability Assessments: Campus sustainability performance was evaluated using an adapted assessment tool based on the Nigerian JT-GAP framework and international sustainability assessment tools for higher education. The assessment covered five domains: curriculum and research, campus operations, community engagement, governance, and student involvement.

Site Observations: Physical inspections of campus facilities provided data on actual sustainability practices related to waste management, energy use, water conservation, and building design.

3.4 Data Analysis

Qualitative data from interviews, focus groups, and documents underwent thematic analysis using NVivo software. Coding followed a hybrid approach, combining deductive codes based on existing sustainability frameworks with inductive codes emerging from the data. Analysis focused on identifying patterns in policy implementation, perceived effectiveness, and barriers across different institutional contexts.

Quantitative assessment data were analyzed using descriptive statistics to profile sustainability performance across domains and initiatives. Cross-tabulation analysis examined relationships between institutional characteristics and sustainability outcomes. All analyses maintained ethical standards including confidentiality, informed consent, and data protection [5].

4. Case Study Findings on Institutional Sustainability Performance

4.1 Case Study 1: Federal University of Agriculture

The Federal University of Agriculture demonstrated the strongest policy alignment with national sustainability frameworks among the cases studied. The institution had formally incorporated sustainability principles into its strategic plan and established a Sustainability Office with direct reporting lines to the Vice-Chancellor. Curriculum analysis revealed that 28% of academic programs included dedicated sustainability content, with particularly strong integration in agricultural and environmental sciences.

In campus operations, the university had implemented several innovative sustainability projects, including a waste-to-energy facility that converted agricultural waste from research farms into biogas used in campus dining halls. Despite this advanced initiative, the overall waste management system showed significant shortcomings. As one facilities manager noted, "While we have pioneered this waste-to-energy project, we still struggle with basic waste segregation and collection across most of the campus." This contrast illustrates the common pattern of isolated excellence alongside systemic challenges [6].

The solar power installation project at the university demonstrated both the potential and limitations of renewable energy initiatives. Initially planned as a 1MW system to cover 40% of campus energy needs, the project was scaled down to 250kW due to funding constraints and technical challenges. The implemented system provided reliable power to administrative buildings but left residential halls dependent on diesel generators during frequent grid outages. A engineering faculty member explained, "We demonstrated technical feasibility but faced financial realities that required compromising the original vision [7]."

Table 2. Sustainability Performance Across Case Study Institutions

Sustainability Dimension	Federal University	State University	Private University
Curriculum Integration	Advanced (28% of programs)	Moderate (15% of programs)	Basic (8% of programs)
Research Focus	Strong in agriculture, moderate in other fields	Limited, with few funded projects	Minimal, primarily student projects
Energy Management	Mixed (renewable projects but high generator use)	Basic (efficiency measures only)	Advanced (comprehensive efficiency)
Waste Management	Innovative but inconsistent	Poor, with open dumping observed	Moderate, with recycling initiatives
Water Conservation	Basic (limited infrastructure)	Poor (leakage and waste observed)	Advanced (recycling and monitoring)
Stakeholder Engagement	Moderate (structured but limited participation)	Limited (ad hoc and informal)	Strong (regular and inclusive)
Community Connection	Strong (focus on local farmers)	Weak (limited outreach)	Moderate (selected partnerships)

Table 2: This table reveals structural disparities in the sustainability performance of Nigerian universities:

- Federal Universities: Strong in curriculum integration, research, and community engagement, but weak in infrastructure sustainability (energy, water).
- State Universities: Lowest overall sustainability levels, lacking systematic management and resource support.
- Private Universities: Strong in technical and managerial dimensions (energy, water, participation), but weak in academic and community areas.
- Public Institutions: (especially federal universities) have advantages in social and academic aspects, while private institutions lead in infrastructure and efficiency management; state universities face the most severe resource and governance challenges.

4.2 Case Study 2: State University of Technology

The State University of Technology presented a case of implementation fragmentation, with individual departments pursuing sustainability initiatives without institutional coordination. The Department of Environmental Technology had developed an impressive rainwater harvesting system that served three academic buildings, while the Architecture Department had retrofitted its building with energy-efficient lighting and cooling systems. However, these remained isolated examples rather than campus-wide standards.

University administrators expressed high awareness of national sustainability policies, particularly the Energy Transition Plan, but cited budgetary constraints as the primary barrier to implementation. As the Director of Works explained, "We know what should be done, but when 70% of our capital budget goes to essential maintenance and completing abandoned projects, little remains for sustainability innovations." This prioritization of immediate operational needs over longer-term sustainability investments was a recurring theme across interviews [8].

The university's strongest sustainability performance emerged in areas that aligned with its technical expertise and generated cost savings. An energy efficiency program focusing on lighting retrofits in high-use areas had achieved a 22% reduction in electricity consumption with a 14-month payback period. Similarly, a water conservation project in engineering laboratories had reduced consumption by 30% through equipment modifications. These initiatives demonstrated the potential for cost-linked sustainability that addresses both environmental and financial concerns.

4.3 Case Study 3: Private University

The Private University case illustrated how institutional leadership and organizational flexibility can drive sustainability implementation despite operating within the same national policy context. The university had established a Sustainability Committee chaired by the Provost with representation from all faculties and the student body. This committee had developed a comprehensive sustainability strategy with specific targets and accountability mechanisms [9].

Campus operations at the Private University demonstrated the most consistent sustainability performance, particularly in waste management and water conservation. The institution had implemented a systematic waste segregation program with dedicated collection points across campus and partnerships with local recyclers. A water recycling facility treated greywater for non-potable uses, reducing municipal water consumption by 40%. These operational initiatives benefited from the university's newer infrastructure and centralized decision-making processes.

The Private University faced challenges in curriculum integration and community engagement. Despite administrative commitment to sustainability, only 8% of academic programs had substantially incorporated sustainability content. A humanities professor noted, "There is enthusiasm for sustainability in principle, but we lack the pedagogical resources and faculty development to effectively integrate it into diverse disciplines." Community engagement initiatives tended to be selective, focusing on partnerships that aligned with the university's institutional priorities rather than community-identified needs [10].

5. Discussion and Implications for Sustainability Implementation in Higher Education

5.1 Interpreting Implementation Effectiveness

The findings from the three case studies, reveal several interconnected factors that shape the effectiveness of sustainability initiatives in Nigerian universities. First, policy awareness does not automatically translate to implementation capacity. All case study institutions demonstrated familiarity with national sustainability frameworks, particularly the recently validated JT-GAP but varied significantly in their ability to implement these policies in campus practices. This alignment-awareness gap mirrors challenges observed in other Nigerian sectors, where, despite "bold policy moves, the tangible benefits for rural farmers remain elusive" in sustainability initiatives.

Second, the effectiveness of sustainability initiatives appears closely linked to their integration with local contexts and community needs. Initiatives that demonstrated the highest success rates across cases were those that addressed locally relevant challenges such as energy reliability, water security, and waste management, while simultaneously creating educational value. This finding supports research suggesting that sustainability in higher education must "emphasize important issues and be quantitative, comparable, and unambiguous" while remaining contextually appropriate.

Third, financial models significantly influence implementation patterns. The prevalence of initiatives with clear economic returns (e.g., energy efficiency with short payback periods) versus those with primarily environmental or social benefits suggests that financial sustainability often precedes environmental sustainability in institutional decision-making. This pattern reflects broader challenges in sustainable development financing in Nigeria, where high initial costs create barriers to adoption of sustainable technologies and approaches [11].

5.2 Addressing Implementation Barriers

The research identified several persistent barriers that hinder effective translation of sustainability policies into campus practices. Financial constraints emerged as the most significant barrier across all case studies, limiting investments in sustainable infrastructure, technologies, and specialized expertise. This challenge is particularly acute in public universities facing declining government funding and competing operational priorities.

Technical capacity gaps represent another critical barrier, with insufficient trained personnel to design, execute, and maintain sustainability initiatives. As observed in the carbon market context, "Measurement, Reporting, and Verification (MRV) is central to carbon market participation but remains a major hurdle for rural Nigeria". Similar technical challenges affect sustainability monitoring and reporting in universities.

Institutional fragmentation also impedes implementation, as sustainability often remains siloed in specific departments rather than integrated across institutional functions. The case studies revealed minimal coordination between academic sustainability initiatives (curriculum, research) and operational sustainability (campus management), resulting in missed opportunities for synergy and learning [12].

5.3 Strategies for Enhanced Effectiveness

Based on the findings, several strategies could enhance the effectiveness of sustainability initiatives in Nigerian universities:

First, contextualized sustainability frameworks that adapt global sustainability principles to local realities would help institutions develop more relevant and implementable initiatives. Such frameworks should recognize the distinctive challenges and opportunities within the Nigerian context while maintaining connections to international sustainability discourse.

Second, innovative financing mechanisms could help overcome budget constraints. These might include sustainability revolving funds that reinvest cost savings from efficiency projects, public-private partnerships for sustainable infrastructure, and integration of sustainability criteria into existing capital budgeting processes.

Third, capacity development programs targeting both technical and administrative staff would address skill gaps in sustainability implementation. As demonstrated in agricultural carbon projects, building technical capacity among implementers is essential for closing the gap between policy and practice [13].

Fourth, community-connected sustainability that engages local communities as partners rather than beneficiaries could enhance both the effectiveness and relevance of initiatives. Research has shown that "universities scoring strongly on measures of sustainability are associated with innovation and economic growth in their surrounding communities", suggesting potential for mutually beneficial partnerships.

Table 3. Implementation Challenges and Potential Solutions

Implementation Challenge	Manifestation in Case Studies	Potential Solutions
Financial Constraints	Scaled-back renewable projects; deferred maintenance on sustainability infrastructure	Sustainability revolving funds; green bonds; energy performance contracting
Technical Capacity Gaps	Inadequate monitoring systems; poor maintenance of installed technologies	Staff training programs; technical partnerships; knowledge sharing networks
Institutional Fragmentation	Isolated initiatives without coordination; missed opportunities for synergy	Cross-functional sustainability committees; integrated planning processes
Community Disconnection	Limited community benefit from campus initiatives; missed learning opportunities	Community advisory panels; shared infrastructure projects; service-learning courses

Table 3: This table illustrates four major bottlenecks in the transition from policy to practice for sustainable development in Nigerian universities: Insufficient funding, weak technological capabilities, institutional fragmentation, and community disconnect.

It also proposes actionable solutions, emphasizing:

- Addressing resource constraints through innovative financing mechanisms;
- Enhancing execution through capacity building and institutional integration;
- Achieving dual social and environmental benefits through community collaboration.

The core significance of Table 3 in the fact that it not only identifies key obstacles affecting the sustainable implementation of higher education, but also provides policy and practice-oriented solutions, demonstrating how to transform "ideal policies" into "executable actions."

6. Conclusion

This research has examined the complex transition from sustainability policy to practice in Nigerian universities, revealing both significant challenges and promising opportunities. The study demonstrates that while Nigerian higher education institutions show strong awareness of national sustainability frameworks like the Just Transition Guidelines and Action Plan, implementation effectiveness varies considerably based on institutional capacity, leadership commitment, and contextual factors.

The findings suggest that effective sustainability implementation requires moving beyond technical solutions to address the systemic and organizational dimensions of sustainability transitions. Financial constraints, capacity gaps, and institutional fragmentation represent significant barriers that cannot be overcome through environmental awareness alone. Instead, they require strategic approaches that connect sustainability with institutional priorities, build implementation capacity, and foster integrative planning.

The study contributes to the literature on sustainability in higher education by providing empirical evidence from the under-researched Nigerian context and proposing a contextual framework for understanding implementation dynamics. It extends existing research on sustainability assessment practices by examining not just what institutions measure but how they implement sustainability in challenging contexts.

6.1 Recommendations for Policy and Practice

Based on the research findings, the following recommendations could enhance the effectiveness of sustainability initiatives in Nigerian universities:

For policymakers, developing targeted support programs for universities implementing national sustainability frameworks would help bridge the capacity gap. These might include technical assistance programs, targeted funding for sustainability infrastructure, and recognition systems for high-performing institutions. Additionally, better aligning national sustainability priorities with educational funding formulas could create financial incentives for implementation.

For university administrators, adopting strategic approaches that integrate sustainability across institutional functions would enhance implementation effectiveness. This includes developing sustainability plans with clear targets and accountability mechanisms, creating cross-functional sustainability committees, and aligning budgeting processes with sustainability objectives. Leaders should also foster connections between operational sustainability and academic programs to create learning opportunities.

For funding agencies and development partners, prioritizing investments in sustainability initiatives that demonstrate both environmental and educational benefits would maximize impact. Supporting contextually appropriate technologies rather than imported solutions would enhance sustainability and maintainability. Additionally, funding mechanisms that require community engagement could foster more socially inclusive sustainability initiatives.

6.2 Future Research Directions

This study has identified several promising directions for future research. First, longitudinal studies tracking sustainability implementation over time would provide insights into the evolution of initiatives and institutional learning processes. Second, comparative studies across African contexts could identify regional patterns in sustainability implementation and transferable strategies. Third, research on innovative financing models for campus sustainability would address a critical implementation barrier. Finally, studies examining the relationship between campus sustainability and community development would contribute to understanding the broader societal impacts of university sustainability initiatives.

In conclusion, while Nigerian universities face significant challenges in implementing sustainability initiatives, they also possess tremendous potential to contribute to national sustainability transitions. By addressing implementation barriers through strategic approaches that connect policy objectives with institutional practices and local realities, universities can more effectively fulfill their role as catalysts for sustainable development.

References

[1] Lu, Y., Zhang, Y., Huang, Z., Cheng, B., Wang, C., Sun, Y., Zhang, H., & Li, J. (2025). A Literature Review of Sustainable Building Research: Bibliometric Analysis from 2015–2025. Buildings, 15(19), 3609. https://doi.org/10.3390/buildings15193609

[2] Vien H, Galik CS (2024), "Individual and interlinked SDGs: higher education institutions and metro area sustainability performance". International Journal of Sustainability in Higher Education, Vol. 25 No. 5 pp. 962–987, doi: https://doi.org/10.1108/IJSHE-06-2023-0231

[3] Basheer, N., Ahmed, V., Bahroun, Z., & Anane, C. (2024). Exploring Sustainability Assessment Practices in Higher Education: A Comprehensive Review through Content and Bibliometric Analyses. Sustainability, 16(13), 5799. https://doi.org/10.3390/su16135799

[4] PAVEL K. BAEV, INDRA ØVERLAND, The South Stream versus Nabucco pipeline race: geopolitical and economic (ir)rationales and political stakes in mega-projects, International Affairs, Volume 86, Issue 5, September 2010, Pages 1075–1090, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2346.2010.00929.x

[5] Reverte, C. (2022). The importance of institutional differences among countries in SDGs achievement: A cross-country empirical study. Sustainable Development, 30(6), 1882–1899. https://doi.org/10.1002/sd.2354

[6] BenYishay, A., Mueller, L., Nolan, K., & Roessler, P. (2022). Testing multi-stakeholder dialogue for better local governance in Niger: An experiment. Can we talk our way out of development problems? Development Policy Review, 40, e12601. https://doi.org/10.1111/dpr.12601

[7] Kola, A. J., Opeyemi, A. A., Sunday, O. S., & Tayo, O. O. (2021). Nigerian Higher Education Research and the Challenges of Sustainable Development. American Journal of Creative Education, 4(1), 1–9. https://doi.org/10.20448/815.41.1.9

[8] Habeeb, Y. O., & Eyupoglu, S. Z. (2024). Strategic Planning, Transformational Leadership and Organization Performance: Driving Forces for Sustainability in Higher Education in Nigeria. Sustainability, 16(11), 4348. https://doi.org/10.3390/su16114348
[9] Rodríguez-Guerreiro, M.-J., Torrijos, V., & Soto, M. (2024). A Review of Waste Management in Higher Education Institutions: The Road to Zero Waste and Sustainability. Environments, 11(12), 293. https://doi.org/10.3390/environments11120293
[10] González-Ramírez, J., Cheng, H., & Arral, S. (2021). Funding Campus Sustainability through a Green Fee—Estimating Students' Willingness to Pay. Sustainability, 13(5), 2528. https://doi.org/10.3390/su13052528
[11] Buckner, E., Zhang, Y. Sustainability rankings in higher education: 'The right thing to do' or the pursuit of global recognition?. High Educ (2025). https://doi.org/10.1007/s10734-025-01495-z
[12] Machado, C. F., & Davim, J. P. (2023). Sustainability in the Modernization of Higher Education: Curricular Transformation and Sustainable Campus—A Literature Review. Sustainability, 15(11), 8615. https://doi.org/10.3390/su15118615
[13] Boiocchi, R., Ragazzi, M., Torretta, V., & Rada, E. C. (2023). Critical Analysis of the GreenMetric World University Ranking System: The Issue of Comparability. Sustainability, 15(2), 1343. https://doi.org/10.3390/su15021343